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Stimulation challenges ...

- Maximize the NPV on well drilling and completion investment
  - Increase hydrocarbon production rate
  - Increase the reservoir economical life and reserves

- Stimulation for reservoir management
  - Efficient drainage of laminated formations
  - Delaying the onset of water production
  - Sand control

- Provide highly conductive flowpath
  - Bypass near wellbore “damage”
  - Ease in hydrocarbon drainage

- Modify flow regime deep within the formation (tens to hundreds of feet)
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Matrix Stimulation

- Injection of a Treatment Fluid (Acid or Chemical) to Dissolve,
- Disperse or Bypass Near Wellbore Damage

Carbonate Treatments
Create New Flow Paths

Sandstone Treatments
Remove Damaging Agent
Overview of Matrix Acidizing Design Process

- Determine if candidate is appropriate for Matrix Acidizing
- Determine the damage mechanism: Drilling/Scale – Organic/Inorganic
- Select the appropriate acid type: HCl/Emulsified/Organic/Mud Acid/Clay Acid
- Design Appropriate acid Coverage: gal/ft of formation/fluid penetration
- Ensure effective fluid placement: mechanical+chemical
- Consider pre/post treatment flushes to enhance main acid treatment and improve cleanup
- Compare designed treatment with actual results and use lessons learned for subsequent treatment.
Case Study 1: Damage Identification and Acid Design

- Well-1 and Well-2 are offset wells 150m apart
- BHST ~ 80°C
- Lithology: Laminated Clean/Dirty Carbonate
- Low permeability, low porosity
- Low API oil gravity
- Iron species evident from core/cuttings analysis
Case Study 1: Design Comparison

**Well-1**
- Aggressive Acid formulation NOT designed to mitigate clay and Iron content.
- Pre-flush, Acid formulation and Post-flush NOT designed for low API oil gravity
- Potentially damaging polymer-based diverter acid applied
- Excessive fluid volumes applied for pre-job injection diagnostics

**Well-2**
- Comprehensive analysis of formation lithology and fluids w. lab testing
- Acid formulation optimized with chelants and organic acids to prevent and suspend degradation products
- Pre-flush, Acid formulation and Post-flush designed with mutual solvent to maximize stimulation efficacy
- Polymer-based acid removed.
- Fluid volumes optimized
Case Study 1: Stimulation Results

- **Well-1**
  - Interval Y
  - No Effect of Acid
  - No improvement of injection

- **Well-2**
  - Interval Y
  - New Acid Design results in significant pressure reduction
  - Production from stage results in cancellation of additional stimulation
Case Study 2: Offshore Gulf

- Highly fractured, tight carbonate
- $\Phi < 0.05$, $k < 0.01\text{mD}$
- BHST = 290 degF
- Formation Depth ~4000m
- Formation thickness ~250m
- Lateral Length ~350m

- Historical Stimulation Approach
  - CT conveyed stimulation
  - Low pump rates, low acid volumes

- New Stimulation Approach
  - Multi-Stage Completion System
  - High rate Matrix, high acid volumes
  - Fiber-laden Diverter
Case Study 2: Stimulation Placement

Formation Complexity

- Improper placement exuberates heterogeneity

Initial Approach
- Ball Sealers
- Particulate Diverters
- Bullheading

Length of producing intervals...

Required Approach
- Inflated Straddle Packers
- Pacl
- Self Diverting Acids
- Selective Completion
- Fiber Laden Diverter Acid
Case Study 2: Understanding Formation Complexity

- A total of 6 fracture intervals are identified from micro formation image
- Sonic identifies as OPEN Fractures at
- Sonic borehole acoustic reflection used to identify fractures extending into the formation
Case Study 2: Completion Design

OH = 1073ft
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Stg 1 – 300ft
Case Study 2: Simulated Fracture
Case Study 2: Fluid Selection

- Combination of chemical and mechanical diversion
- Promotes uniform stimulation of fractured carbonate formations

![Live Diverter acid](Image 1)

![Fiber Laden Acid](Image 2)

![Fibers](Image 3)

**Cumulative leak-off mass vs time at constant pressure P = 20 psi**

- $q_1 = 50 \text{ ml/min}$
- $q_2 = 3.9 \text{ ml/min}$

Wall building → Stabilized flow
Case Study 2: Project Impact

- **Well Results**
  - “We are of course very pleased with these results...” - Executive Chairman

- **Significant change in Field development plans**
  - Restimulation of existing wells

- **Production facility at max. capacity**
Hydraulic Fracturing

- A Reservoir Treatment Performed to Create a High Conductivity Path from the Reservoir to the Wellbore

Acid Frac - Carbonates
Propped Frac - Sandstones
Acid Fracturing

- Acid is injected above fracturing pressure
  - A hydraulic fracture is created
- Acid non-uniformly dissolves and etches carbonate fracture faces
  - Highly conductive acid-etched channels remain open after fracture closes
  - No risk of screen out (risk with prop fracs)
- Length of etched fracture
  - Determined by acid type, volume, strength, leakoff parameters, reaction rate and injection rate
- Effectiveness determined by
  - Fracture length
  - Fracture conductivity

*Acid frac: *Stochasitic, Formation dependent
General Requirements for Acid Fracturing

- **Carbonate formations**
  - Not applicable in sandstone formations
  - HCl, even HF, will not adequately etch sandstone fracture face
  - Materials (fines) released through dissolution can plug the fracture

- **Cleaner Limestone and Dolomite formations**
  - Dirty carbonate rocks (< 70% solubility in HCl) are poor candidates
    - Acid etched channels may be impaired
    - Release of insoluble material may plug the channel

- **Competent rock**
  - Conductivity can deteriorate over time
  - Soft formations unable to retain conductivity after closure
  - Chalk formations are generally not suitable

- **Connectivity vertically across interval**
  - Reservoirs with horizontal sterilities (e.g., anhydrite) can compromise vertical connectivity of conductivity
Limits of Acid Fracturing

- **Kinetic limit:**
  - Upper limit for depth of acid penetration
  - Dependent on reaction kinetics

- **Fluid loss limit:**
  - Lower limit on depth of acid penetration
  - Negatively affected by wormhole formation

- **Conditions for differential etching**
  - Rock heterogeneity can create differential etching
  - Can be enhanced by viscous fingering
  - Dominated by reactivity! (generally overlooked)
Acid Fracturing Challenges

BHP control using conventional diverter

80 bbl/min Pump Rate - not sufficient to keep frac open!
Fiber and VES – Self Diverting Acid

Dual leakoff control with MaxCO3 Acid:
1. Fibers bridging in natural fracture/wormhole
2. High viscosity VDA provides:
   • Larger fracture width to reduce Area/Volume and increase spending time.
   • VDA viscosity upon spending behind the fiber cake, thus decreasing spurt loss
Case Study 3: Acid Fracturing with Fiber Laden Acid

- Well X
  - Open hole horizontal
  - Multi-stage completion (3 stages)
  - Stage 1: 379ft open-hole length

Full story in:
J.L. Jauregui (Saudi Aramco) et al., SPE 142512 – Successful Application of Novel Fiber Laden Self-Diverting Acid System during Fracturing Operations of Naturally Fractured Carbonates in Saudi Arabia
Case Study 3: Treatment Schedule

- HCl Spearhead / Breakdown
  - PAD
  - Main Acid
  - MaxCO3 Acid
  - Mechanical diversion for multi-stage
  - Overflush
  - Flush

- Cooldown
  - Fiber-laden Acid

- Repeat (~3-4 times)
  - Repeat for each zone of interest

* Stages can be added if needed per treatment design

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stage Name</th>
<th>Pump Rate (bbl/min)</th>
<th>Fluid Name</th>
<th>Stage Fluid Volume (gal)</th>
<th>Acid Conc. (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pad</td>
<td>25.0</td>
<td>CROSSLINKED 35 LB GEL</td>
<td>5.000</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acid</td>
<td>25.0</td>
<td>EMULSIFIED ACID</td>
<td>6.000</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pad</td>
<td>30.0</td>
<td>CROSSLINKED 35 LB GEL</td>
<td>2.500</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acid</td>
<td>30.0</td>
<td>EMULSIFIED ACID</td>
<td>6.000</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pad</td>
<td>30.0</td>
<td>CROSSLINKED 35 LB GEL</td>
<td>2.500</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diverter 1</td>
<td>30.0</td>
<td>Diverting System (75%)</td>
<td>2.000</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pad</td>
<td>30.0</td>
<td>CROSSLINKED 35 LB GEL</td>
<td>5.000</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acid</td>
<td>30.0</td>
<td>EMULSIFIED ACID</td>
<td>6.000</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pad</td>
<td>30.0</td>
<td>CROSSLINKED 35 LB GEL</td>
<td>2.500</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diverter 2</td>
<td>30.0</td>
<td>Diverting System (75%)</td>
<td>2.000</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pad</td>
<td>35.0</td>
<td>CROSSLINKED 35 LB GEL</td>
<td>5.500</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acid</td>
<td>35.0</td>
<td>EMULSIFIED ACID</td>
<td>7.000</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pad</td>
<td>40.0</td>
<td>CROSSLINKED 35 LB GEL</td>
<td>2.500</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diverter 3</td>
<td>40.0</td>
<td>Diverting System (100%)</td>
<td>2.500</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pad</td>
<td>40.0</td>
<td>CROSSLINKED 35 LB GEL</td>
<td>6.000</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acid</td>
<td>40.0</td>
<td>EMULSIFIED ACID</td>
<td>9.000</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overflush1</td>
<td>40.0</td>
<td>Overflush</td>
<td>10.000</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CFA Diverter</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>Diverting System (100%)</td>
<td>2.500</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CFA</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>HCl-28 CFA</td>
<td>9.000</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overflush2</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>Overflush</td>
<td>10.000</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flush</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>Water</td>
<td>10.612</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Case Study 3: Pressure plot

- Fiber Laden Acid leads to pressure increase of ~3100psi at constant injection rate
- The entire treatment remains above fracturing pressure, propagating the fracture
Case Study 3: Fiber Laden Acid vs Conventional Diverters

Full story in:
T. Bukovac (Schlumberger) et al., SPE 160887 – Stimulation Strategies to Guard against Uncertainties of Carbonate Reservoirs
Case Study 3: Treatment Outcome: Comparison

- Normalized post-frac gas production has increased of 40%
- Diverter volume is now 20% of total acid volume (compared to 45% in conventional treatments)
- 445gal/ft of acid, vs 720 gal/ft in conventional treatments
Case Study 3: Reducing Job Size, Increasing Efficiency

On ~50 wells in Saudi Arabia,
- Fiber Laden Acid enables reducing significantly the fluid volumes
- Clean-up period dropped on average from 4.7 days to 2 days
Conclusions

- Stimulation Challenges
- Completion Selection for the Reservoir
- Requirements of Effective Matrix & Acid Frac Stimulation
- Stimulation Efficacy Dependent* on:
  - Damage Identification/Acid Design
  - Stimulation Placement
  - Fluid Loss Control (Acid Fracturing)

*not exclusive, other factors can impact efficacy
Questions?
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